

FGFR selective inhibitor Debio 1347 induces tumor regressions

in FGFR2-altered gastric cancer PDX models

Franck Brichory¹, Anna Pokorska-Bocci¹, Paolo Nuciforo², Stefania Rigotti¹, Nathalie Lembrez¹, Grégoire Vuagniaux¹, Corinne Moulon¹, <u>Anne Vaslin</u> ¹Debiopharm International SA, Switzerland, ²Vall d'Hebron Institute of Oncology, Spain

No GCN gain, no gene fusion

GCN or gene fusion

Gastric model

Abstract #4784

Summary

Dysregulation of the fibrobiast growth factor receptor (FGFR) signaling pathway due to receptor over-expression, gene amplification, pain mutations or fiosinos/kromosamal translocations is associated with cancer development and progression. This study was aiming at evaluating the impact of the type of FGFR alterations on the activity of Debio 1347 (C15183284), and on al sective FGFR inhibitor currently in clinical development.

A mouse trial was conducted in 39 different PDX models of various histotypes selected according to their FGFR1, 2 and 3 alteration status including gene amplification, expression and fusion. Debio 1347 was administered orally once daily and tumor volume was monitored for 14 consecutive days.

In gastric cancer, Debin 1347 induced tumor regression in models harboring the commonly found FGR2 amplification. Interestingty, Debin 1347 was also highly effective in a model with high FGR2 expression has tifthout FGR2 amplification, indicating that not only gene amplification drives the activity of Debin 1347 in this indication, but also the expression level of FGR2.

Altogether, these data demonstrate that Debio 1347 could be highly effective in gastric cancer patients harboring FGFR2 amplification and/or high FGFR2 expression. Debio 1347 is currently investigated in a Phase I trial in selected patients harboring FGFR2 alterations (NCT01948297).



Figure 1. (A) Mechanisms of FGFR activation (adapted from Knowles et al., 2015¹). (B) TREEspot[™] Interaction Map for Debio 1347 tested at 100 nM² (Kinome Scan panel, DiscoveRx).

Methods

PDX mouse models (CrownBio, China). Studies were approved by the local Ethics Committee for Animal Experimentation. Briefly, tunor fragments (2-3 mm in diameter) were injected subculanceously (so) into the right flank of female Balby ende mice. Tumor volume was measured twice weekly in two dimensions using a caliper, and the volume was expressed in mm³ using the formula. V = 0.5 as by lower a and bare the long and short diameters of the tumor, respectively. Body weight was also recorded twice weekly. Debio 1347 was orally administered once a day for 14 consecutive days in mice with established tumors (m³ = bokice controls and m⁻³ treated animals).

FISH. Hybridization to an FGFR probe was performed as previously described¹. FGFR probes used were FGFR/ICEN 8 Dual Color Probe, FGFR2/CEN 10 Dual Color Probe and FGFR3/4p11 Dual Color Probe (all from Zytovision GmbH, Brenerhaven, Germany). Gene copy number gain (GCN) was defined as either amplification (FISH FGFR probecentromere probe ratio 2:2) or polysomy, defined as FGFR probe-centromere probe ratio <2.2 but each one of FGFR and centromeres probes >2.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC). Immunostaining was performed on 4-µm paraffin-embedded tissue sections using standard protocol. FGFR2 Rabbit polyclonal antibody was from Novus Biologicals (Cat# NB200-642).

mRNA expression analyses. Total RNA from FFPE tissue macrodissected sections was isolated using the miRNeasy FFPE kit (1)(2)aep.). For NanoStrim analysis, 300 ang of total RNA per sample were analyzed using the fractionare Gane Expression Assay protocol as instructed by the manufacturer. For qPCR, 500 ng of total RNA were reverse-transcribed using the Transcriptor Universal EONA Master (Roche), and the resulting EDNA was amplified using FAM (by-ableded TaqMan Assays (Roche, Thermo) and the Light(Syelfer E1556 DNA Probes Master (Roche). Counts and relative quantities nomalization were performed using the most stably expressed reference genes validated using the geNorm algorithm⁴.

References

- Knowles MA et al. Molecular biology of bladder cancer: new insights into pathogenesis and clinical diversity. Nat Rev Cancer. 2019 Jan;15(1):25-41.
- (2) Nakanishi Y et al. FGFR genetic alterations as a potential predictor of the sensitivity to CH5183284/Debio 1347, a selective FGFR inhibitor with a novel chemical scaffold. AACR 2014 abstract #2729.
- (3) Schildhaus, H.U. et al. Definition of a fluorescence in-situ hybridization score identifies high- and low-level FGFR1 amplification types in squamous cell lung cancer. (2012) Mol. Pathol. 25: 1473-80.
- (4) Vandesompele J et al. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. Genome Biol. 2002 Jun 18;3(7):research0034.1-research0034.11.

Debio 1347 demonstrates high anti-tumoral efficacy in PDX models of different histotypes

Figure 2. Waterfall plot of the AT/AC values of all 39 PDX models. Treatment efficacy was expressed as AT/AC, whereby AT

reports the relative change in tumor volume of drug-treated animals and AC the relative change in tumor volume of not-drug-

treated animals between the last day of treatment and the beginning of treatment (median volume differences). $\Delta T/\Delta C < 0$

Debio 1347 induces tumor regressions in gastric PDX models

A total of 11 PDX models of gastric origin were investigated. Representative models with no FGFR2 alterations (Fig

3A), FGFR2 high mRNA expression but no amplification (Fig 3C) or FGFR2 high mRNA expression and

overexpression of an FGFR, and (iv) expression of an FGFR fusion gene product.

corresponds to complete arrest of tumor growth during the treatment period.

amplification (Fig 3E) show differential sensitivity profiles to Debio 1347:

2 4 5 8 10 11 14 14

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

models

Results

Characterization of FGFR2 amplification and expression level by different technologies

39 PDX models of different histotypes representing the four following categories were selected and tested for efficacy: (i) increased copy number of an FGR gene and overexpression of an FGR gene and technologies. If an end technologies is not promote a first provide the sentence of an FGR gene but



Figure 4. mRNA levels measured by each of qRT-PCR and NanoString technologies on test sets of 26 PDX gastric tumo samples.

A very good correlation is observed between the two tested technologies, confirming the robustness of the Nanostring technology for further assessments.

Debio 1347 induces tumor growth delay and necrosis

Debio 1347 administered at 30mg/kg induced a significant tumor growth inhibition without inducing tumor regressions, whereas increasing the dose up to 60mg/kg did induce tumor regressions.



Figure 5. Efficacy in GA1224 PDX model. Debio 1347 was administered at 30 mg/kg from D1- D18, then done level was increased from D19 to D20 to 60 mg/kg. After treatment free period, animals received 60mg/kg treatment from D68 to D74 (A). Representative H&E staining after 23 days (B) and on Day 74 (D), scale = 1mm, stars. necrotic area, arowheads: tumoral area. FGR2 H1C staining of Debio 1347-reacted animal at D25 (Q) and Day 74 (E).

Debio 1347 induced significant tumor growth delay. Debio 1347 triggered tumor necrosis (Fig 5B, stars) which increased over time even after treatment withdrawal (Fig 2D).

Even though tumors started to re-grow after treatment was stopped (on Day 23), tumors were still sensitive to Debio 1347 (administered at 60mg/kg from Day 68 to Day 74). This sensitivity to FGFR inhibition is supported by the persistence of FGFR2 protein expression level within tumor (Fig S2 and 5E). FGFR2 expression level can classify models sensitive to Debio 1347

An increased level of FGFR2 was associated with better anti-tumoral efficacy of Debio 1347 (Spearman correlation pvalue: 0.015).



No response Tumor regression

Responding models and non-responding models clearly displayed different levels of FGFR2 expression. Selecting models on the basis of FGFR2 amplification only (empty circles) does not allow to select all responding models. Indeed, one model displaying no amplification but high level of FGFR2 expression responded to Debio 1347.

Conclusions

- Debio 1347 demonstrated high anti-tumoral efficacy in PDX models of different histotypes.
- In gastric cancer, Debio 1347 induced tumor regression in models harboring the commonly found FGFR2 amplification.
- Interestingly, Debio 1347 was highly effective in model with high FGFR2 expression but without FGFR2 amplification, indicating that not only gene amplification drives the activity of Debio 1347, but also gene expression.
- Debio 1347 is currently investigated in a Phase I trial in selected patients harboring FGFR alterations (NCT01948297).

Related Presentations

 ERK signal suppression and sensitivity to CH5183284/Debio 1347, a selective FGFR inhibitor. Sunday, Apr 19, 8:00 AM -12:00 PM- Abstract #3028

Acknowledgements

We thank Nobuya Ishii at Chugai Pharmaceutical for helpful discussions.

anne.vaslinc

Internations

www.debiop

, .		
Contact	Download	
<u>chessex@debiopharm.com</u> at Debiopharm al SA, Lausanne, Switzerland. <u>pharm.com</u>	This poster is available via: www.debiopharm.com/medias/publications	



Figure 3. Response to Debio 1347 in representative gastric PDX models. Debio 1347 was administered orally daily at 60 mg/s for 14 (A, De 17 81 (C) conservite days. Debio 1347 was very well toterated as measured by body weight (B, D, F). Debio 1347 resulted in a statistically significant reduction in mean tumor volume when compared to the vehicle group (unpaired two-ailed f-text).

2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20